1

2

3

4 5 6 Dec A0 2006-72 (S-1) Revised

Submitted by:

Assemblymembers BIRCH,

SULLIVAN

Prepared by:

Assembly Counsel

For reading:

May 2, 2006

ANCHORAGE, ALASKA AO NO. 2006–<u>72</u>

AN ORDINANCE OF THE ANCHORAGE MUNICIPAL ASSEMBLY AMENDING ANCHORAGE MUNICIPAL CODE SECTION 12.05.055, APPEALS TO BOARD OF EQUALIZATION, TO PROVIDE FOR A FILING DEPOSIT THAT IS REFUNDABLE IF THE APPELLANT APPEARS FOR THE HEARING, REGARDLESS OF OUTCOME.

THE ANCHORAGE ASSEMBLY ORDAINS:

Section 1. Anchorage Municipal Code section 12.05.055 is hereby amended to read as follows:

12.05.055 Appeals to board of equalization.

- A. A person whose name appears on the assessment roll as the owner of record or the agent or assigns of that person may appeal to the board of equalization for relief from an alleged error in valuation.
- No appeal may be taken unless the applicant files with the assessor written notice В. of appeal specifying grounds for such appeal within 30 days from the date the assessment notice was mailed. An appeal application must be complete and presented on the form prescribed by the board. No appeal application may be accepted unless a filing deposit [FEE] of \$30.00 for a property whose assessed value is less than \$100,000.00, \$100.00 for property whose total assessed value is at least \$100,000.00 but less than \$500,000.00, \$200.00 for property whose total assessed value is at least \$500,000.00 and less than \$2,000,000.00, and \$1,000.00 for property whose total value is \$2,000,000.00 or greater, is received by the assessor at the time of filing. The filing deposit is refundable provided the appellant or the appellant's agent appears before the board of equalization at the time and place scheduled for the hearing. If the appellant or the appellant's agent fails to appear, the deposit shall be forfeited. [IF THE APPEAL RESULTS IN A REDUCTION FROM THE ORIGINAL ASSESSED VALUE THE FILING FEE SHALL BE REFUNDED.] The assessor shall assign a case number to the appeal within one week of filing and payment of the filing deposit [FEE].

*** *** ***

(AO No. 49-75; AO No. 78-69; AO No. 86-30; AO No. 86-211(S-1); AO No. 87-44; AO No. 92-109; AO No. 2000-58, § 1, 3-21-00; AO No. 2003-159(S), § 4, 12-16-03)

Chair	
Chair	
Chair	
Chair	



MUNICIPALITY OF ANCHORAGE

ASSEMBLY MEMORANDUM

No. AM 318–2006

Meeting Date: May 2, 2006

From: Assemblymember BIRCH

Subject: AO 2006–72 – Appeals to Board of Equalization

An opportunity to challenge or change an "unequal, excessive, improper or under valuation" assessment of property by the Municipality or its agents is a citizen's right and should not be feebased as a matter of equity and fairness. If a property owner has supporting evidence to substantiate a change in valuation the municipality also "shall make available to the appellant all reasonably pertinent documents requested for presentation of the appeal." At present, the fee is refundable based on the outcome of the appeal: If the appeal leads to a reduction in the valuation, then there is a refund of the filing fee.

In response to questions during presentation by Chair Marianne Burke of the Board's annual report to the Assembly on March 14, 2006, Ms. Burke indicated that a fee was necessary to serve as a deterrent to keep the Board from having to prepare for an appeal, only to have the appellant not appear. AO 2006-72 makes the filing deposit refundable, provided the appellant or the appellant's agent appears for the hearing. This should meet the concerns of the Board of Equalization.

Respectfully submitted

Assemblymember Birch, Section 6

 14 15 16



MUNICIPALITY OF ANCHORAGE

ASSEMBLY INFORMATION MEMORANDUM

No. AIM 58-2006

Meeting Date: May 2, 2006

From: Assemblymember Birch

Subject: Summary of Economic Effects for AO 2006-72

Attached to this memo is the Summary of Economic Effects for AO 2006-72 regarding an ordinance of the Anchorage Municipal Assembly amending AMC section 12.05.055, appeals to board of equalization to eliminate the fee provision.

Prepared By: Steven B. King, Utility Budget Analyst

Reviewed By: Barbara E. Gruenstein and Guadalupe Marroquin

Submitted By: Assemblymember Birch

AO 2006-72

MUNICIPALITY OF ANCHORAGE Summary of Economic Effects -- General Government

An ordinance of the Anchorage Municipal Assembly amending AMC section

AO Number: 2006-72

Title:

12.05.055, appeals to board of equalization to eliminate the fee provision.

Sponsor:

Assemblymember Birch

Preparing Agency:

Assembly

Others Impacted:

Property appraisal

CHANGES IN EXPENDITURES AND REVENUES:				(In Thousands of Dollars)							
		FY06		FY07		FY08		FY09		FY10	
Operating Expenditures 1000 Personal Services 2000 Non-Labor 3900 Contributions 4000 Debt Service	\$	1,340	\$	1,340	\$	1,340	\$	1,340	\$	1,340	
TOTAL DIRECT COSTS:	\$	1,340	\$	1,340	\$	1,340	\$	1,340	\$	1,340	
Add: 6000 Charges from Others Less: 7000 Charges to Others											
FUNCTION COST:	\$	1,340	\$	1,340	\$	1,340	\$	1,340	\$	1,340	
REVENUES:	\$	(23)	\$	(23)	\$	(23)	\$	(23)	\$	(23)	
CAPITAL:											
POSITIONS: FT/PT and Temp											

PUBLIC SECTOR ECONOMIC EFFECTS:

It is estimated that the cost of each appeal ranges from about \$500 to \$2,000 (with the average cost calculated at \$725) in personnel costs to the municipality. Prior to the fee provision the Municipality averaged around 2,500 appeals per year; upon enactment of the fee provision the appeals were reduced to around 650 per year. With the passing of this ordinance it is estimated that there would be a reduction of the appeal fees totaling around \$22,500 per year (based upon 150 appeals per year that were not reimbursed at an average cost of \$150 per appeal). In addition, it can be estimated that the appeals filed will rise to their pre-fee level of around 2,500 annually which would reflect an increase of 1,850 appeals per year; this cost would equal \$1,341,250 annually.

PRIVATE SECTOR ECONOMIC EFFECTS:

There is no clear indication that there would be any significant private sector economic effects related to this ordinance. It is possible that without the fee provision more private sector appeals would be filed which could result in a reduction of taxes assessed as well as the reduction of the fee itself.

Prepared by: Steven B. King, Utility Budget Analyst

Reviewed by: Barbara Gruenstein and Guadalupe Marroquin

Telephone: 343-4714

Telephone: 343-4311 and 343-4376

Municipality of Anchorage MUNICIPAL CLERK'S OFFICE

Agenda Document Control Sheet

AO 2006-72

(35	E REVERSE SIDE FOR FURTHER INFORMATION)			
1	SUBJECT OF AGENDA DOCUMENT APPEALS TO BOARD OF EQUALIZATION		DATE PREPARED 4/25/06	
•	ATTEMED TO BOARD OF EQUALIZATION		4/23/00	
_				
			Ind	licate Documents Attached
			X AO	☐ AR ☒ AM ☐ AIM
	DEPARTMENT NAME		DIRECTOR'S NAM	
2	Assembly		Dan Sullivar	. Chair
-	THE PERSON THE DOCUMENT WAS ACTUALLY PREPARED BY		HIS/HER PHONE N	UMBER
3			İ	
	Julia lucker habembly dountser	T	343-441	9
4	COORDINATED WITH AND REVIEWED BY		INITIALS	DATE
	Mayor			
	Municipal Clerk			
	Municipal Attorney			
	Employee Relations			***************************************
	Municipal Manager			
	Cultural & Recreational Services			
	Fire			
	Health & Human Services			
	Merrill Field Airport			
	Municipal Light & Power			
	Office of Management and Budget		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	Police			
	Port of Anchorage			
	Public Works			
	Solid Waste Services			
	Transit			
	Water & Wastewater Utility			
	Executive Manager			
	Community Planning & Development			
	Finance, Chief Fiscal Officer			
	Heritage Land Bank			
	Management Information Services			
	Property & Facility Management			
	Purchasing		`	
	Other			21 06
				<u> </u>
5	Special Instructions/Comments			
	Consent Ag	and	a - Introduction	Vi Vi
	Consent Ag	enu	a - Incroduction	
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
				Final Agus
6	ASSEMBLY HEARING DATE REQUESTED	7	PUBLIC HEARING DATE REQUE	STED
6	5/2/06	7	5/23/06	